

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/801,411	03/08/2001	Darrell Lee Ash	RFMI01-00213	2885	
759	90 08/14/2002				
William J. Munck, Esq.			EXAMINER		
Novakov Davis & Munck, P.C. 900 Three Galleria Tower			KINKEAD, ARNOLD M		
13155 Noel Road Dallas, TX 75240			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dunus, 171 752			2817		
•			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		09/801,411 ASH, DARRELL LEE					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Arnold M Kinkead	2817	ř.			
Period fo			·				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABA	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 /	<u>⁄/ay 2002</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)☐ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) $\underline{1-20}$ is/are pending in the application	ı .					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10 and 12-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) 🗌 🤄	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	oted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ dis	approved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•					
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application	1).			
) 🗋 The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic 3) Inform	re of References Cited (PTO-892) re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) reation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) 🔲 Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		etion Summary	Part of Paper No. 5				

Application/Control Number: 09/801,411 Page 2

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 6,7,13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 6 and 13, the load impedance is lower than the stray capacitance impedance...is this recitation correct?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/801,411 Page 3

Art Unit: 2817

4. Claims 1,5,8,12,15,16,18,19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Northam(US 6,239,664).

The reference by Northam, figure 2, and col. 7, lines 34-42, discloses an oscillator circuit with a SAW resonator(figure 2, 202) with inductor element(230,235), and varactor(244). The amplifier(204) is also shown. Low phase noise is achieved by tuning out the stray capacitances. The method steps being inherent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 5-10, and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Driscoll(US pat. 5,608,360) of record and further in view of Northam.

The reference by Driscoll discloses an oscillator circuit that makes use of a SAW resonator(see figure 1, and col. 3, lines 5-20) which provides the suggestion that it is know in the art that SAWR's have a certain amount of parasitic capacitance that may be tuned out. The two port resonator(input and output ports) is shown coupled with inductors (12,13). A load is connected to the output.

Application/Control Number: 09/801,411 Page 4

Art Unit: 2817

The reference <u>does not explicitly describe the inductors</u> as tuning out the parasitic capacitance <u>nor does it show a tunable SAW resonator with varactor</u>. The method steps being inherent.

With regard to the latter idea it is notoriously well known in the art that a resonator maybe made adjustable by adding a varactor that allows for tuning adjustments, see the reference by Northam, figure 2, and col. 7, lines 34-42. The reference by Northam discloses an oscillator circuit with a SAW resonator(figure 2, 202) with inductor element(230,235), and varactor(244). The amplifier(204) is also shown. Low phase noise is achieved by tuning out the stray capacitances. Also, the use of the inductor elements would have been recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art to have a role in tuning out the parasitics. The inductors coupled to ground.

In light of the above it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the SAW resonator as shown in Driscoll to include a varactor control, as shown by Northam, to allow for the tuning of the resonator as desired. Also, the inductors allowing for compensation against the inherent parasitic capacitances.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims pending have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/801,411

Art Unit: 2817

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Arnold Kinkead whose telephone number is (703) 305-3486. The examiner

can normally be reached on Mon to Fri from 8:30 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Robert Pascal, can be reached on (703) 308-4909. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Arnold Kinkead

Aug. 7, 2002

Page 5